How to Prove it in Isabelle/HOL

Tobias Nipkow

May 23, 2024

Abstract

How does one perform induction on the length of a list? How are numerals converted into *Suc* terms? How does one prove equalities in rings and other algebraic structures?

This document is a collection of practical hints and techniques for dealing with specific frequently occurring situations in proofs in Isabelle/HOL. Not arbitrary proofs but proofs that refer to material that is part of *Main* or *Complex_Main*.

This is not an introduction to

- proofs in general; for that see mathematics or logic books.
- Isabelle/HOL and its proof language; for that see the tutorial [1] or the reference manual [3].
- the contents of theory *Main*; for that see the overview [2].

Contents

1	Main														2				
	1.1	Natural numbers																	2
	1.2	Lists																	2
	1.3	Algebraic simplification .																	3

Chapter 1

Main

1.1 Natural numbers

Induction rules

In addition to structural induction there is the induction rule *less_induct*:

 $(\land x. (\land y. y < x \Longrightarrow P y) \Longrightarrow P x) \Longrightarrow P a$

This is often called "complete induction". It is applied like this:

(induction n rule: less_induct)

In fact, it is not restricted to *nat* but works for any wellfounded order <.

There are many more special induction rules. You can find all of them via the Find button (in Isabelle/jedit) with the following search criteria:

name: Nat name: induct

How to convert numerals into *Suc* terms Solution: simplify with the lemma *numeral_eq_Suc*. Example:

lemma fixes x :: int shows " $x \uparrow 3 = x * x * x$ " by $(simp \ add: numeral_eq_Suc)$

This is a typical situation: function " \uparrow " is defined by pattern matching on *Suc* but is applied to a numeral.

Note: simplification with *numeral_eq_Suc* will convert all numerals. One can be more specific with the lemmas *numeral_2_eq_2* (2 = Suc (Suc 0)) and *numeral_3_eq_3* (3 = Suc (Suc (Suc 0))).

1.2 Lists

Induction rules

In addition to structural induction there are a few more induction rules that come in handy at times: • Structural induction where the new element is appended to the end of the list (*rev_induct*):

 $\llbracket P \ []; \ \land x \ xs. \ P \ xs \Longrightarrow P \ (xs \ @ \ [x]) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \ xs$

- Induction on the length of a list (*length_induct*): $(\Lambda xs. \forall ys. length ys < length xs \longrightarrow P ys \Longrightarrow P xs) \Longrightarrow P xs$
- Simultaneous induction on two lists of the same length (*list_induct2*):

1.3 Algebraic simplification

On the numeric types *nat*, *int* and *real*, proof method *simp* and friends can deal with a limited amount of linear arithmetic (no multiplication except by numerals) and method *arith* can handle full linear arithmetic (on *nat*, *int* including quantifiers). But what to do when proper multiplication is involved? At this point it can be helpful to simplify with the lemma list *algebra_simps*. Examples:

lemma fixes x :: intshows "(x + y) * (y - z) = (y - z) * x + y * (y-z)" by(simp add: algebra_simps)

lemma fixes $x :: "'a :: comm_ring"$ shows "(x + y) * (y - z) = (y - z) * x + y * (y-z)"by(simp add: algebra_simps)

Rewriting with *algebra_simps* has the following effect: terms are rewritten into a normal form by multiplying out, rearranging sums and products into some canonical order. In the above lemma the normal form will be something like x * y + y * y - x * z - y * z. This works for concrete types like *int* as well as for classes like *comm_ring* (commutative rings). For some classes (e.g. *ring* and *comm_ring*) this yields a decision procedure for equality.

Additional function and predicate symbols are not a problem either:

lemma fixes $f :: "int \Rightarrow int"$ shows "2 * f(x*y) - f(y*x) < f(y*x) + 1" by $(simp \ add: \ algebra_simps)$

Here algebra_simps merely has the effect of rewriting y * x to x * y (or the other way around). This yields a problem of the form 2 * t - t < t + 1 and we are back in the realm of linear arithmetic.

Because *algebra_simps* multiplies out, terms can explode. If one merely wants to bring sums or products into a canonical order it suffices to rewrite with *ac_simps*:

lemma fixes $f :: "int \Rightarrow int"$ shows "f(x*y*z) - f(z*x*y) = 0" by(simp add: ac_simps)

The lemmas *algebra_simps* take care of addition, subtraction and multiplication (algebraic structures up to rings) but ignore division (fields). The lemmas *field_simps* also deal with division:

lemma fixes x :: real shows " $x+z \neq 0 \implies 1 + y/(x+z) = (x+y+z)/(x+z)$ " by $(simp \ add: \ field_simps)$

Warning: *field_simps* can blow up your terms beyond recognition.

Bibliography

- [1] Tobias Nipkow. *Programming and Proving in Isabelle/HOL*. https://isabelle.in.tum.de/doc/prog-prove.pdf.
- [2] Tobias Nipkow. What's in Main. https://isabelle.in.tum.de/doc/ main.pdf.
- [3] Makarius Wenzel. The Isabelle/Isar Reference Manual. https:// isabelle.in.tum.de/doc/isar-ref.pdf.